

Professor: Jack Porter

**Exercise 1.** (WLS, Econ 710 Midterm, 2015) Let  $(y_i, x_i)_{i=1}^n$  be i.i.d. sample, where  $y_i$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued and  $x_i$  is  $\mathbb{R}^k$ -valued. The parameter of interest  $\beta$  is given by

$$\beta = \arg \min_{b \in \mathbb{R}^k} E \left[ (y_i - x_i' b)^2 \tau(x_i) \right],$$

where  $\tau$  is a known, scalar, non-negative, bounded function. Define  $e_i = y_i - x_i' \beta$ .

- (1) Give an explicit formula for  $\beta$ .
- (2) Show that  $E[\tau(x_i)x_i e_i] = 0$ .
- (3) Under what condition (other than  $\tau(x) = 1$ ) is  $\beta$  equal to the population OLS coefficient, i.e.  $\beta = \arg \min_b E[(y_i - x_i' b)^2]$ ?
- (4) Write down an estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  for  $\beta$ .
- (5) Under what condition is  $\hat{\beta}$  you suggest unbiased for  $\beta$ ?
- (6) Show that  $\hat{\beta}$  is consistent for  $\beta$ .
- (7) Find the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$ ?
- (8) Show how this asymptotic distribution simplifies when  $E[e_i^2 | x_i] = \sigma^2$ .
- (9) Suggest an estimator for the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Taking FOC yields  $0 = E[x_i(y_i - x_i' \beta)\tau(x_i)]$ . Assuming  $E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i']$  is invertible and reordering yields  $\beta = E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i']^{-1} E[\tau(x_i)x_i y_i]$ .

- (2)  $E[\tau(x_i)x_i e_i] = E[\tau(x_i)x_i(y_i - x_i' \beta)] = E[\tau(x_i)x_i y_i] - E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i'] \beta = E[\tau(x_i)x_i y_i] - E[\tau(x_i)x_i y_i] = 0$ .
- (3) Assume linearity  $E[y_i | x_i] = x_i' \gamma$ . Clearly population OLS coefficient is  $\gamma$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i']^{-1} E[\tau(x_i)x_i y_i] \\ &= E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i']^{-1} E[E[\tau(x_i)x_i y_i | x_i]] \\ &= E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i']^{-1} E[\tau(x_i)x_i E[y_i | x_i]] \\ &= E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i']^{-1} E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i' \gamma] \\ &= \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\beta$  is equal to population OLS coefficient under linearity assumption.

- (4) Use the sample analogue of  $\beta = E[\tau(x_i)x_i x_i']^{-1} E[\tau(x_i)x_i y_i]$ . In particular, an estimator for  $\beta$  is  $\hat{\beta} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i)x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i)x_i y_i \right)$ .

(5) Assume linearity  $E[y_i|x_i] = x_i'\beta$ . Then  $E[e_i|x_i] = 0$ . Conditional mean of  $\hat{\beta}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\beta}|x_1, \dots, x_n] &= E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i (x_i' \beta + e_i) \right) \middle| x_1, \dots, x_n \right] \\ &= \beta + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i E[e_i|x_1, \dots, x_n] \right) \\ &= \beta. \end{aligned}$$

We also have  $E[\hat{\beta}] = E[E[\hat{\beta}|x_1, \dots, x_n]] = 0$ .

(6) WLLN and Continuous Mapping Theorem imply

$$\hat{\beta} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i y_i \right) \xrightarrow{p} E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} E[\tau(x_i) x_i y_i] = \beta.$$

(7) Using CLT, Continuous Mapping Theorem, and Slutsky's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i e_i \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} N(0, \text{Var}(\tau(x_i) x_i e_i)) \\ &= N(0, E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} E[\tau(x_i)^2 e_i^2 x_i x_i'] E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

(8) Variance expression above can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} E[\tau(x_i)^2 e_i^2 x_i x_i'] E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} \\ &= E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} E \left[ E[\tau(x_i)^2 e_i^2 x_i x_i' | x_i] \right] E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} \\ &= E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} E \left[ E[e_i^2 | x_i] \tau(x_i)^2 x_i x_i' \right] E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} E \left[ \tau(x_i)^2 x_i x_i' \right] E[\tau(x_i) x_i x_i']^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

(9) Use  $\hat{V} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i)^2 \hat{e}_i^2 x_i x_i' \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(x_i) x_i x_i' \right)^{-1}$ , where  $\hat{e}_i = y_i - x_i \hat{\beta}$ . □

**Exercise 2.** (Bruce Hansen, Econ 710, Midterm 2001) The model is

$$y_i = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i, \quad E(\epsilon_i | x_i) = 0.$$

An econometrician is worried about the impact of some unusually large values of the regressors. The model is thus estimated on the subsample for which  $|x_i| \leq c$ , for some fixed  $c$ . Let  $\tilde{\beta}$  denote the OLS estimator on this subsample. It equals

$$\tilde{\beta} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' 1(|x_i| \leq c) \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i 1(|x_i| \leq c) \right)$$

where  $1(\cdot)$  denotes the indicator function.

- (a) Show that  $\tilde{\beta} \rightarrow_p \beta$ .  
 (b) Find the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)$ .  
 (c) Suppose instead the model is

$$y_i = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i, \quad E(x_i \epsilon_i) = 0.$$

Does result (a) change?

*Proof.* (a) Since

$$\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \right)$$

so that we check the converging value of the second term. By WLLN,

$$\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \right)^{-1} \rightarrow_p E(x_i x_i' \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c))^{-1}$$

and the next term is

$$\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \right) \rightarrow_p E(x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c)) = E[x_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) E[\epsilon_i | x_i]] = 0$$

since  $E[\epsilon_i | x_i] = 0$  by assumption. Therefore  $\tilde{\beta} \rightarrow_p \beta$ .

(b) By above we know

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \right).$$

The first term is the same as above, so it converges to  $E(x_i x_i' \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c))^{-1} =: \Gamma^{-1}$ . For the second term, we can use CLT, so

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \rightarrow_d N(0, \text{Var}(x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c))).$$

Then what is  $\text{Var}(x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c))$ ? It is by definition  $E(x_i x_i' \epsilon_i^2 \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c)) - E(x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c)) E(x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c))' = E(x_i x_i' \epsilon_i^2 \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c)) =: \Omega$ . So

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c) \right) \rightarrow_d \Gamma^{-1} N(0, \Omega) \sim N(0, \Gamma^{-1} \Omega \Gamma^{-1}).$$

(c) The result of (a) is changed. In (a), our result came from showing  $E(x_i \epsilon_i \mathbf{1}(|x_i| \leq c)) = 0$ . Unfortunately,  $E(x_i \epsilon_i) = 0$  cannot be a sufficient condition for that. Let's take a counter-example. Let  $c = 1$ , and  $x_i$  and  $\epsilon_i$  have the following distribution.

$x_i \backslash \epsilon_i$	-1	0	1
-1	$\frac{1}{12}$	0	0
0	0	$\frac{5}{8}$	0
2	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{8}$

Then  $E(x_i \epsilon_i) = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{12} + (-2) \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{8} = 0$  but  $E(x_i \epsilon_i 1(|x_i| \leq 1)) = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{12} + 0 + 0 = \frac{1}{12} \neq 0$ . This is also a counter-example to show that  $E(x_i \epsilon_i) = 0$  may not imply  $E(\epsilon_i | x_i) = 0$ . Conditioning on  $x_i = -1$ , the probability distribution of  $\epsilon_i$  degenerates,  $E(\epsilon_i | x_i = -1) = -1 \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** (2SLS, ECON 710 Final 2013) Take a linear equation with endogeneity and a just-identified linear reduced form

$$y_i = x_i \beta + \epsilon_i, x_i = \gamma z_i + u_i$$

where both  $x_i$  and  $z_i$  are scalar. Assume that  $\mathbb{E}(z_i \epsilon_i) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(z_i u_i) = 0$ .

(1) Write down the standard 2SLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}_{2SLS}$  for  $\beta$  using  $z_i$  as an instrument for  $x_i$ .

(2) Find the asymptotic distribution for  $\hat{\beta}_{2SLS}$ . Write the asymptotic variance as a function of  $\Omega = \mathbb{E}(z_i^2 \epsilon_i^2)$ ,  $Q = \mathbb{E}(z_i^2)$ , and  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* (1) Using the formula for 2SLS estimator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{2SLS} &= \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i' \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i x_i' \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i' \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i y_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $z_i$  and  $x_i$  are scalar, each element in the first square bracket is invertible, and we can simplify it as

$$\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i y_i \right).$$

(2) The 2SLS estimator can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{2SLS} &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i y_i \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i (x_i \beta + \epsilon_i) \right) \\ &= \beta + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \epsilon_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

You can easily prove that this converges in probability to  $\beta$  (Check this!). For asymptotic normality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} - \beta) &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \epsilon_i \right) \\ &= \left( \gamma \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i u_i \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \epsilon_i \right) \\ &\rightarrow_d N(0, Q^{-1} \Omega Q^{-1} / \gamma^2) = N(0, \Omega / Q^2 \gamma^2) \end{aligned}$$

The convergence holds by WLLN, CLT,  $\mathbb{E}(z_i u_i) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(z_i \epsilon_i) = 0$  and the last equality holds since  $Q$  is scalar. (Check this!)  $\square$